

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
WESTERN DIVISION

MAYTEE ZAGODA, \_\_\_\_\_, )  
Plaintiff(s), \_\_\_\_\_, )  
vs. \_\_\_\_\_, ) Case No. 1:19-cv-03479  
JTM CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LLC, \_\_\_\_\_, ) Magistrate Judge Iain D. Johnston  
Defendant(s). \_\_\_\_\_, )

**PARTIES' PROPOSED CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER**

*This pdf fillable form must be used as is, and not be retyped.*

- I. **The parties held a Fed. R. of Civ. P. 26(f) meeting** on July 29, 2019, attended by:  
Nathan C. Volheim \_\_\_\_\_ for Plaintiff(s), and  
Brendan H. Little \_\_\_\_\_ for Defendant(s).
- II. **Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) Disclosures** will be exchanged by August 12, 2019. The Court requires full and proper Rule 26(a)(1) disclosures by all parties.
- III. **Alternative Dispute Resolution Mediation.** Counsel certify under 28 U.S.C. § 1746 that their clients have read the Pamphlet governing the court's mediation program, that counsel explained the dispute resolution options available from the Court and private entities, and that counsel considered how this case might benefit from those options. Further, counsel certify that they gave their clients an estimate of fees and costs to litigate this matter through trial, as well as an estimate of the fees and costs of an early successful mediation. Lastly, if this is a fee shifting case, defense counsel certify they have discussed the advantages and disadvantages of making a Rule 68 offer of judgment. Failure to comply with these requirements will result in sanctions.  
*See Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(c), (f).*

- The parties anticipate seeking a settlement conference with the Magistrate Judge:  
 Immediately  after initial disclosures  after fact discovery  after expert discovery.  
The parties have reviewed this Court's standing order on settlement conferences.
- The parties agree to private ADR. The mediator/arbitrator is \_\_\_\_\_ and the mediation/arbitration is scheduled for \_\_\_\_\_.
- The parties request this case be excused from ADR.

IV. **Discovery Plan.** The parties jointly propose the following discovery plan:

Discovery will be needed on the following subjects. (Do not just write “the claims and defenses in this case,” or words to that effect. That is unhelpful.)

Plaintiff asserts discovery will be needed regarding the communications and correspondences between the parties; Defendant's policies and procedures with regards to collection activity; Communications and documents between Defendant and the original creditor(s) it was working on behalf of.

It is Defendant's position that it is not a debt collector as defined by the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act because it does not take any steps to collect on debt and out sources all collection efforts to third party debt collectors. Defendant will seek discovery concerning Plaintiff's factual basis that it is a debt collector.

- A) Maximum of 25 interrogatories by each party to any other party.
- B) Maximum of 25 requests for admission by each party to any other party.
- C) Maximum of 2 depositions by Plaintiff(s) and 2 by Defendant(s).
- D) Each deposition is limited to 7 hours unless extended by agreement of the parties, except that depositions of \_\_\_\_\_ are limited to \_\_\_ hours.
- E) The deadline for amended pleadings, new counts or parties, and third-party complaints is August 14, 2019 (no *later* than 30 days before the close of fact discovery).
- F) Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(C) disclosures are due by November 14, 2019 (no *later* than 30 days before the close of fact discovery). Absent unusual circumstances, the Court considers treating physicians to be Rule 26(a)(2)(C) witnesses if opinion testimony will be elicited.
- G) Rule 26(e) supplements will be made in a timely manner, but no later than December 14, 2020 (no *later* than 30 days before the close of fact discovery).
- H) Fact discovery ends January 14, 2020.
- I) The parties anticipate retained experts on the following subjects:  
The parties do not anticipate expert discovery at this time.

Report from the Plaintiff's expert retained under Rule 26(a)(2)(B) is due by November 14, 2019.  
Deposition of the Plaintiff's expert shall be taken by January 14, 2020.

Report from the Defendant's expert retained under Rule 26(a)(2)(B) is due by November 21, 2019.  
Deposition of the Defendant's expert shall be taken by January 14, 2020.

(The parties must give serious thought to whether for this case (1) retained experts are necessary, or (2) need to be deposed. *See* Gregory P. Joseph, *The Temptation to Depose Every Expert*, 40 A.B.A. SEC. LITIG. 1 (2014); William Cirignani, *The Case for Not Taking Defense Expert Depositions*, 18 TRIAL JOURNAL 20 (Winter 2016)).

J) Dispositive motions are due by February 13, 2020 (no *later* than 30 days after the close of fact discovery).

K) The parties suggest the next status hearing with the Court be October 22, 2019 (must be on the Court's regular status call held Tuesdays and Thursdays).

L) **Counsel may not stipulate to extend discovery deadlines, including for depositions, beyond the dates already set in this case management order.**

M) **These dates will not be amended absent a showing of good cause.** The parties understand that with entry of this case management order, the deadlines set out in the order are governed by Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4), and requests for extensions require a showing of good cause under that Rule. Motions for extensions of time should be brought as soon as possible, but at a minimum *before the cut-off date*. Failure to do so does not demonstrate diligence, and runs the serious risk that the motion will be denied. *See McCann v. Cullinan, 11 CV 50125, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 91362 (N.D. Ill. Jul. 14, 2015)*

V. **Electronically Stored Information.** Electronically stored information that can reasonably be anticipated to be relevant to the litigation will be preserved. When balancing the cost, burden, and need for electronically stored information, the Court and the parties will apply the proportionality standards embodied in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1) and (b)(2)(B), as well as consider the technological feasibility and realistic costs of preserving, retrieving, reviewing, and producing electronically stored information. The parties and the Court will discuss and consider any appropriate and reasonable technologies that might further the goals of Fed. R. Civ. P. 1. Counsel should review the helpful information found at [www.ediscoverycouncil.com](http://www.ediscoverycouncil.com), including the 7th Circuit Council on eDiscovery and Digital Information Model Discovery Plan.

VI. **Claims of Privilege or of Protection.** The parties shall detail below any agreements reached for asserting claims of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material after information is produced, including whether they seek entry of their agreement as an order under Fed. R. Evid. 502. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(3)(B)(iv) and 26(f).

Absent any specific agreement reached by the parties, the following provisions will apply:

1) The production of privileged or work-product protected documents, electronically stored information (“ESI”) or information, whether inadvertent or otherwise, is not a waiver of the privilege or protection from discovery in this case or in any other federal or state proceeding. This order shall be interpreted to provide the maximum protection allowed by Fed. R. Evid. 502(d).

2) Nothing contained herein is intended to or shall serve to limit a party’s right to conduct a review of documents, ESI or information (including metadata) for relevance, responsiveness and/or segregation of privileged and/or protected information before production.

***Signatures certify that this form was used as is and not retyped, the parties and counsel understand they cannot extend deadlines without a court order, any request to extend a deadline requires a showing of good cause under Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4), and failing to seek an extension before a deadline has passed does not establish good cause/diligence.***

PLAINTIFF(S) KAREN E. KNACK-TOMS

DEFENDANT(S) JTM Capital Management, LLC

By: Nathan C. Volheim

By: Brendan H. Little

***Additional information may be included on separate sheets of paper attached to this order.***